App F IX 4

Previous References
mf 55 41 (19-22)

1946 47
( mf )

File No 5 - 46
Serial Nos 124, 125

Later References
mf 8-52—7, 8
mf 8 -52— 152
LCH 257 TE759

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Two

Part B for pwps

SUBJECT

Arrear claims of pensions of Mr G. Subba Rao, Retired Head Clerk, Tumkur Town Municipal Office.

Go No L 1782-4/mf 5-46 125 dt 11 8-47

To

RC in Mysore, Bangalore
DC Tumkur Dist
Comptroller of Govt

Sanctions the above proposals

Serial No

Letter no A1·3467/44-45 Dy 13/11/46 from the Revenue Commr, forwarding for orders the papers relating to the arrear claims & pension of Mr. G Subba Rao, retired head clerk of the Tumkur Town Mf. Co

1) The fnd facts of the case have been set forth in the Rev Comm's letter

2) If appd, the Comptroller were be requested to furnish his opinion in the case so as to enable Govt to pass orders

A

3) Submit for orders

15/11

4) A - may be seen

reverse) 15/11/46

91 dt no 92/467 16/11/46 Dsd 16/11

5) Forwarded with the Compliments to the comptroller to the part of myself for favour of opinion in the points of the Revenue Commissioner in this matter

277/Spl 16 11 46

Revenue Secretariat 16/11/46

U.O No 40 dt 18/11/46 per Rt Bill

Rdr

By order, etc.,

Sd/- 16/11/46

Under Secretary to Govt

364/28/1/47

U.O No 174/A i dated 27-1-47

Returned with compliments.

6) Re- grant of arrears of pay due to increments raising his pay from Rs 40/- to Rs 46/- from 19-11-33 and arrears of pay and leave allowances due to him

NOTE —Contn Sheet

Serial No

for July 1926, kind reference is invited to this office letter No 229/A.i dated 26th May 1945 (copy of which is already furnished by the Revenue Commissioner with his letter dated 11-11-46) I have to state that as the claims relate to periods more than 13 and 20 years old, they are not susceptible of verification in the records of this office and the department will have to seek orders of Government furnishing independent evidence of conclusive nature to show that these amounts have not been paid so far

7) Re Regularisation of pay in grade 40-2-50 by waiving the irregularities pointed out by this office, I have no further remarks to offer re the grant of leave to the official for the period from 25-8-41 to 6-12-41 As already pointed out to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, in this office letter No 100/A i dated 5-10-42, as this official has already been granted furlough in excess of that admissible under the rules, the question of granting him any more furlough leave does not arise and hence so much of the period from 25-8-41 to 6-12-41 equivalent to the period of Privilege leave admissible on 25-8-41 will have to be treated as Privilege leave and the rest as leave without allowances "8"

8) In the circumstances explained in the penultimate para of the Revenue Commissioner's letter dated 11-11-46 the case is for special consideration and orders of Government

Comptroller

CV

28/1/47

9) submit for orders

30/1/47

10) The opinion of the Financial

WD 0381 [illegible] 1000—20 7 1

Serial No

Secretary may perhaps be obtained on the above points.

Revenue Sec 11/2/30

Financial Sec 31/1/30 for favour of remarks

W C

D&S 1/2/30



(12) The entry dated 19-3-1930 attested by the Amildar, Tiptur Taluk in the Service Register shows that the official was posted as acting clerk on Rs 40/ in the District Office and he has reported to duty on 15-2-1929 at the District Office Three increments raising his pay to 46 in grade 40-2-50 have been sanctioned from 19-11-1933 The Service Register does not show when exactly his previous increments raising his pay from 40 to 42 and 42 to 44 were sanctioned As the pay was raised from 40 to 46 on 19-19-11-1933, evidently his previous increments have not been sanctioned , As the service from 16-2-1929 to 19-11-933 excluding L W A for a period of about 3 months and 15 days admits of increments and as the Deputy Commissioner is not in a position to make out why the increments were not sanctioned then and there, the increments raising pay to 42 on 19-11-193[illegible] and to Rs 44 on 19-11-193[illegible] may be sanctioned and the official allowed to draw the arrears of pay of Rs 72/

It was however irregular on the part of the Deputy

NOTE- Contn Sheet

P T O

Serial No

Deputy Commissioner to have sanctioned three increments on 19-11-1933 at the same time without satisfying why the previous increments were not sanctioned

13) The period from 29-8-1924 to 24-3-1926 may be treated as leave without allowances as recommended by the Revenue Commissioner

14) The pay and leave allowances for July 1926 may be disbursed and the delay condoned

15) As the official was relieved on 25-8-1941 before the Government Order dated 6th December 1941 permitting him to retire was issued, he may either be treated as having been retired from 25-8-1941 or in the alternative the period from 25-8-1941 to 6-12-1941 treated as leave at his credit and balance as leave without allowances

16) These irregularities could have been avoided if the Service Register put up in the file had been properly maintained The officers should realise their responsibility in the proper maintenance of Service records of subordinate officials as imperfect entries and omissions would lead to irregular payments

Rev. Secy w/c) 8/2/47

17) 10/2/47

18) The opinion furnished by the Financial Secy in Paras 12-16 supra is subject for orders

19) On a further examination of the records it is seen that the official was held a permanent post in the Revenue dept during the above periods. Hence the file

R 4558/1957 will be transferred to the Land Revenue Branch for necessary action being taken thereon

20) Yes [illegible] 17/2/47

21) Transferred for further action (Asstt Secy. Land Revenue Branch) for 14/2/47 WC




22) [illegible] 17/2/47

- KRS 19-2-47

23) The opinion furnished by the Financial Dept is for kind Perusal and orders.

WC 3/2/47 [illegible]

24) Please [illegible] 6/3

25) The opinion of the Fin Secty re the grant of arrears of pay, (Para 12), and leave allowances (Para 14) may be accepted and the claims ordered to be paid. Condoning the delay

26) The entire period from 29/8/24 to 24/3/26 may be treated as duty

as recommended by the Re
and agreed to by the Fin Dr
Secty, in the absence of definite
material to support the entry
in favour of only half the period being
treated as LWA

S65'J
13/3

27) As the Govt has been found
more leave with allces, than the
and there is even a question of recovery of excess leave allces,
was entitled to, & treating the
can be treated only
period from 25-8-41 to 6-12-41 as
As the
LWA. will deprive him of even
pension for the period, the G o
& 6 12 41 may be modified,
so as to permit the Govt to
relieve from 25-8-41

FILE No. 2669
17.3.41

DCS
12.3.47

Rev Secy) 28) The views of the Financial
Secretary regarding the grant of arrears of pay
and allowances (paras 12-14) and the grant of L W.A
(para 13) may be accepted and the officials may be
treated as having been retired from 25-8-41

29) S/17/3 Rev & Comm 17/3 DS/17/3

Arrear claims and pension of Mr. G Subba Rau, Retired Head clerk, Tuni Municipal Office - Transfer - Lr from Bombay

~~H957/R~~ 3649/L

~~R1957~~

18/3/47

28/3

31) G O No L 4707-9/ME 55-41-22 dt 6.12.41, Sanctioning the Retirement of Mr G Subba Rau, was issued from the L S-G Branch As the G O has to be modified, with Reference to Paras 27-30 Supra, and as the Official was working as Head Clerk of the Tuni Municipal Office, Transfer at the time of Retirement it is for Orders whether the G O be issued from the L S G Branch itself

19/3/47 19.3.47

32) Due orders may perhaps issue from the L S G Br as the Off was drawing a Fl element on the date of his retirement

28/3 19.3.47

Asst Secy L S G (W & E)

Superintendent

19.3.47

33) Submitted with a draft A/cpt card sent for Mr L. Subbarao is placed in the file

7.E.H). It is for Orders whether an endorsement may be issued to him to the effect that his leave allowance may be paid to him that pension claim be settled very early.

4) Orders also are also sought as regards the recovery of leave allowance drawn by Mr. Subba Rao as detailed in Para 1

3649

After Rec comes letter.

JDK
23/4/47 Marks

Pl put up a typed copy of the Draft for. as revised for 26/7/47

Typing Section)
1333
29/7

Typed draft submitted




29/7

Issue at once for 30/7/47

Fl common No 564

For F/s opinion, please see Para 12 & 16 both inclusive Draft & case Suited

31/7 31-7 — It is necessary to specify the date of retirement — vide para 15 of L+L

And Secy to Govt

Subd Pl appd. the following will be inserted after the words "recommendations of the Com" in the penultimate para :— "the official may be treated as having been retired from 25 8 1941, the date on which he was actually relieved"

Draft acted to as per orders of Govt

5/8/47

3649

Please mine as revised
only
please let the second page of the
typed - vide para 2 of my
the Rules Supp
L 2269/rl
8-8-47

Re Subbo
Rs 9/8 pay/9/8

Jour
Ld
9/8

To The Resident at Mysore
" D.C. Tumkur
" Comptroller through H. Sett
No. 1781-A/rel 5-46-125
Sec Sett/11 8 47

Sanctions the above proposed
Remonstrated It is for orders whether
Mr. Subba Rao may be given an out
to the effect that arrears have been passed
re. the payment of certain arrears of pay during the
& treating leave as having been retired with effect
from 25.8.1941 & that he has to approach
the D.C. Tumkur further in the matter He
has sent a reply card.
JM
12/8/47

The reply card may be returned to him
An endorsement may be separately issued
to him as above

for 14/8/47

3649 g 46-7

Submd with a draft order for appl

18/8

18/8

TYPING SECTION
18 AUG 1947
SECTT

To Mr G Subba Rao,
Retd. Ml. Head clerk,
No 159 2 High School Extension
Tuticorin.

No L 2875 B 20-8-47

States that necessary orders have been issued to the Revenue and that he is directed to approach that officer for further action

B
20/8

MI 5- 46- 124

Office of the Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore, Dated 11th November 1946.

A1.3467/44-45

To Encl SR-CB Hon Rakes




J.B. Mallaradhya Esq , M.A ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub - Arrear claims and pension of Mr. G. Subba Rao, retired Head Clerk, Tumkur Town Municipal Office

---

I have the honour to invite reference to G.O No L 4707-9/Ml 55-41-22 dated 6-12-1941 sanctioning the retirement of Mr. G Subba Rao, Head Clerk, Tumkur Town Municipal Office, under note to Art 311 of the Mysore Service Regulations owing to his continuously unsatisfactory work Mr. Subba Rao was relieved even before the receipt of the G.O viz , on 25-8-41 and consequently he had to be treated as on leave (which he is entitled to) from 25-8-41 to 6-12-1941 (the date of the G.O. permitting his retirement from service) The Comptroller, whom the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District consulted as to the nature of leave which Mr. Subba Rao is entitled to, replied (vide his letter No.100/A1 -- dated 5-10-42, copy enclosed) stating that this Official has been granted furlough(both on full and half pay) for a period of 3 years and 10 days which works out to 4 years and one -- month furlough on half pay and that he has been granted furlough on half pay in excess for a period of one year and one month irregularly, as also furlough on full pay for 20 days in excess of that admissible under Art 209(i) Mysore Service Regulations and that the excess paid has to be recovered -- from his pension. It is also stated that he has drawn privilege leave allowances for the period from 24-4-29 to 1-7-29 and -- that this also has to be recovered

While the question of granting him leave for the period from 25-8-41 to 6-12-41 was being decided in consultation -- with the Comptroller, Mr. Subba Rao's representation praying for grant of arrears of pay due to him during the period from

p.t o

from 1930-31 to 1934-35 was also being investigated by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur The Deputy Commissioner reported, at last, in his letter No. A4 C 1127/43 dated -- 17-4-45 (copy enclosed) that an increment of Rs 6/- (three increments in grade Rs. 40-2-50) was sanctioned to Mr Subba Rao from 19-11-1933, raising his pay from Rs. 40/- to Rs 46/- in grade Rs 40-2-50, that arrears of pay due to him from 19-11-33 to the end of May 1934 amounting to Rs 33-6-0 -- were drawn and disbursed to him on 15-6-34 and that there were no references to show the circumstances under which the arrear increments raising his pay from Rs. 40/- to Rs. 46/- were sanctioned and why the same had been with held till 19-11-33 As the three increments are stated to have been sanctioned in a lumpsum on 19-11-1933, Mr Subba Rao has to get Rs 24/- for the 1st year of increment (upto 19-11-1931) and Rs 48/- for the 2nd year of increment (upto -- 19-11-1932) Another claim made by Mr. Subba Rao is re -- grant of arrears of pay and leave allowances due to him for the month of July 1926 It is reported that this Official was transferred from Tumkur to Madhugiri taluk in July 1926, that he joined duty at Madhugiri on 5-7-26 and went on leave from 7-7-26 to 29-7-26 and that the pay and the leave allowances due to him for the entire month were not drawn as the question of grant of leave applied for, then, had not been settled A bill for Rs. 31-10-0 on this account has also been submitted with letter No. A4 C.1127/43 dated 17-4-45 mentioned above for sanction The Comptroller, who was requested to furnish his opinion on these claims states in his letter No 229/A1 dated 26-5-45 (copy enclosed) that since the claims relate to periods ranging from 10 to 20 years, they are not susceptible of verification in his Office

As regards his pension question the Comptroller has returned his pension papers (after sanctioning an Anticipatory pension of Rs 18/- P M ) with memo No. 1353/27184 dated 27-3-46 (copy enclosed) raising 7 objections While some of the objections have been answered, the following objections, for

which replies have been received from the Deputy Commissioners concerned, do not appear to have been adequately answered and no further information can be obtained to meet them fully -

Objection No 18. "The date from which he was posted to work in grade Rs. 40-2-50 may kindly be recorded in the Service Register under proper attestation Why the increments were not sanctioned as and when they became due is not stated. Without the increments raising his pay from Rs. 40/- to Rs 46/- being sanctioned, how the increments raising his pay to Rs. 48/- and Rs 50/- were sanctioned may kindly stated The authority, if any, for such sanctions may also be quoted and a copy sent for reference"

In reply to this Objection, the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur states (vide his letter No Sl C 1179/44-45 dated 16-4-46, copy enclosed) that the official was promoted to act as Head Clerk of Tiptur Taluk as per memo No L Dis Sl 1789/28-29 dated 19-1-29 but subsequently posted to the District Office, Tumkur, as per memo No L.Dis 2226/28 dated 14-2-29 He joined duty in the District Office and the entry has been attested in the Service Register. The Deputy Commissioner states further that the 3rd increment in grade Rs. 40-2-50 was given to this official on 19-11-1933 but adds that the circumstances under which the 1st two increments were not sanctioned cannot be ascertained at this distance of time

Objection No. 19 "On page 12 of Service Register, it is recorded that half the period, One year six months and twenty-six days, was treated as leave with allowances If so, the nature of leave and the periods of different kinds of leave granted may be recorded in the Service Register"

To this, the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur states in his letter quoted above (enclosed herewith) that the official was given leave without allowances for one year and 3 months by the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga from 28-8-24 and subsequently transfered to Tumkur District and that he joined duty there

on 24-3-26 thus absenting himself for a total period of 1 year,6 months and26 days . The Deputy Commissioner, quotes this Office No. C.2230/35 dated 3-1-28 in support of having treated half this period as leave with allowances This is obviously incorrect Besides it is not traceable as to where the official drew his leave allowances for the said period. Further, the entry on page 11 of his Service Register and duly attested by the Sub Division Officer, Shimoga, definitely states that he was granted leave without allowances for 1 year and 3 months. This must have been subsequently extended The entry on page 12, stating that half the period of 1 year 6 months and 26 days is treated as leave with allowances, is not borne out by facts In these Circumstances, it seems reasonable to presume that he was granted only leave without allowances for the entire period of 1 year,6 months, and 26 days. The relevant replies received from the Deputy Commissioners of Shimoga and Tumkur are also enclosed herewith.

Mr Subba Rao retired from service on 6-12-1941, i e nearly 5 years back All the information available in the subordinate Offices has been collected and no further particulars are forthcoming The available particulars do not furnish proper replies to the above two objections and the claim of Mr. Subba Rao regarding grant of arrears of pay as described in the earlier part of the letter remains unsettled In these circumstances and with a view to avoid further delay in the disposal of this case, sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to grant Mr Subba Rao arrears of pay Rs 72/- (24 plus 48) due to sanction of arrear increments raising his pay from Rs 40/- to Rs 46/- in grade Rs. 40-2-50 with effect from 19-11-1933, to the arrears of pay and leave allowances due to him for the month of July 1926 as claimed in the bill(enclosed herewith), to regularise his pay in grade Rs 40-2-50 by waiving the irregularities pointed out by the Comptroller and to calculate his pension on the basis of his available approved service, treating his absence from 29-8-24 to 24-3-36 as leave without allowances. On [illegible]

of orders of Government, the Comptroller will be requested to intimate the excess drawn by Mr. Subba Rao as leave allowances and the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, will be requested to recover the same out of his pension.

The service register of the Official and the connected file of pension papers are enclosed herewith for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obidient servant,

Assistant Commissioner
for Revenue Commissioner.
Absent from the station

rnm/-

Copy of the letter No 100/A i dated 5th October 1942 from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, Addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

---

With reference to your letter No. Sl C.789-42-43 dated 4-9-1942 forwarding for verification a statement of leave granted to Mr. G. Subba Rao and requesting to intimate whether the official is entitled to any kind of leave with allowances from 25-8-41 to 6-12-41, I have the honour to state as follows -

It is verified from the service register of the official that he has been granted the marginally noted kinds of leave He has therefore been granted furlough (both on full and half pay) for a period of 3 years and 10 days which works out to 4 years and one month furlough on half pay The total amount of furlough on half pay admissible to an officer during his entire service is only 3 years (vide Article 203 M S R ) and hence the official has been granted excess furlough on half pay for a period of one year and one month irregularly It is also seen that he has been granted furlough leave on full pay for 1 year and 20 days and therefore he has utilised 20 days furlough on full pay in excess of that admissible under Article 209(i) M.S.R In view of the above the furlough leave allowances paid to the official for one year and onemonth at half his pay have therefore to be recovered from his pension.

| | Y. M. D |
|---|---|
| P L | 1-11- 9 |
| F.F.P | 1- 0-20 |
| F.H.P | 1 11 20 |
| L.W A. | 1 11 5 |

As regards Privilege leave it is seen that though the official had earned only privilege leave of 5 days from 16-2-1929 to 18-4-29 (Privilege leave earned by the official prior to 16-2-1929 is considered to have been lapsed as he was granted leave without allowances for 10 days from 6-2-29 to 15-2-29) he has been granted privilege leave for 2 months and 13 days from 19-4-29 to 1-7-1929 and therefore the privilege leave utilised by him for 2 months and 8mdays from 24-4-29 to 1-7-29 is in excess The excess privilege leave allowances drawn for him for the period have therefore to be recovered He has also been granted excess privilege leave for 4 days from 2-10-1938 to 5-10-1938 and the leave allowances paid to the official for the period have also to be recovered

As the official has utilised the maximum furlough admissible to an ~~offxxxxx~~ officer and also excess of furlough in half day for one

one year and and one month, he is not entitled to any more furlough from 25-8-41 As regards privilege leave the amount of privilege leave at the credit of the official on 25-7-41 may be granted in lieu of furlough on full pay now sanctioned for 1 month with effect from 25-7-41 and the period for which it ~~xx~~ cannot be granted treated as L W.A.

The entires in the service register are not clear as to how the marginally noted periods of absence of the official have been treated

1 29-11-1925 to 24-3-1926 shown as L W A in the leave statement)
2 6-2-1929 to 15-2-29 -do-
3 8-3-1931 to 23-4-1931 (shown as F H P in the leave statment)

thgough in the statment enclosed they have been shown as F.H.P or L W A

This discrepancy has to be set right The absence of the Official on privilege leave from 20-5-1927 to 15-6-1927 noted in the service register is not shown in the leave statement sent for verification The statement of leave attached at the end of the service register is incomplete as regards the leave taken by the official subsequent to 15-6-1927

The Circumstames under which the official was granted furlough as well as privilege leave in excess of that admissible according to rules are not clear and it has to be explained as to how the irregularity escaped notice at ~~xxxx~~ the time of annual verification of the ~~xxrixx~~ service register of the official year after year

The service register of the official is herewith returned and its receipt may kindly acknowledged A copy of the statement of leave taken by the official prepared in this office with reference to the entires found recorded in his service register is also sent for reference This will have to be revised if required after the service register is completely posted as regards some period treated as furlough or L W.A in the leave statement and exact excess leave allowances drawn determined

*********

Copy of letter No A4 C 1127/43 dated 17th April 1945 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, addressed to ~~xx~~ Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

---

Sub - Arrear increments and pay/~~xx~~ due to Mr G Subba Rao, Clerk, retired

---

I have the honour to forward herewith, the entire file of records ending with the Madhugiri Sub Division Officer's report No 2514 of 44 dated 7-12-1944 on the above [redacted] and to state as follows -

Mr G Subba Rao retired clerk applied to this office for the sanction of arrear increments due to him for the years 1930-31 to 1934-35 when he was Head Gumastha in 40-2-50 and for the payment of leave allowances for July 1926 in the Madhugiri taluk The official presented petitions stating that orders were passed by the then Deputy Commissioner on 3-5-1934 regarding the arrear increments due to him and that they have not been given into effect to etc From a perusal of the Service Register (herein enclosed ) it is seen that he was appointed as Head Clerk of the Tiptur Taluk as per memo No Sl.1789-28 dated 19-1-1929 but he did not join duty there He was then transferred to the District Office After he worked in this Office from 15-2-1929 to 18-4-29, he was again transferred to Tiptur Taluk He after availing the leave, reported for duty at Tiptur on 2-12-1929 and again he went on leave from 24th December 1929 He was transferred again to Chiknaikanhalli taluk and he joined duty there on 16-3-1930 He was afterwards transfered to Tumkur taluk on 24-4-31 and then to District Office on 12-10-1932

It is seen from the Acquittance Roll of this Office, that an increment of rs 6/- was sanctioned to this official from 19-11-33 raising his pay from rs. 40/- to 46/- in 40-2-50 The arrears of pay due to him from 19-11-33 to the end of May 1934 amounting to rs 33-6-0 was drawn in this Office and paid to him on 15-6-1934 There are no references to show the circumstances under which the arrear increments raising his pay to rs 46/- from s 40/- were sanctioned and why the same had been with-held till 15-6-1934.

The applicant's prayer is that he may be paid the arrears of pay viz rs 24/- for the 1st year of increment and rs 48/- for the 2nd year of increment as the same are due to him had the increments been sanctioned then and there.

From a perusal of the copy of the increment statement received from the Comptroller it is seen that the Auditor has remarked as noted in the margin There are no references in this office to show as to what action was taken according to this objection

His increment certificates raising his pay to rs 42/- and rs 44/- not sent and they have to be sanctioned by the Revenue Commissioner The present increment certificates does not furnish information as to the date on which his last increment was sanctioned

From the history of the service of the official from 18-4-1929 to 19-11

19-11-33 (the date on which his increment raising his pay to Rs 46/- were sanctioned) it is seen that out of a total service of 4 years and 7 months after deducting a period of 3 months and 15 days as leave without allowances he has put in more than 3 years of approved service

In these circumstances, I request that the arrears of pay due to the official on account of 1st and 2nd increments amounting to Rs 72/- may kindly be recommended to Government for sanction

As regards the 2nd prayer of the official it is seen from his service register that on his transfer to Madhugiri taluk from Tumkur taluk Office he reported for duty on 5-7-26, that he went on leave from 7-7-1926 and that he reported for duty again on 29-7-26 It is reported by the Amildar that his pay and leave allowances for the entire month of July were not drawn as the question of the leave taken by the officdal was not settled. Since he was entitled to 19 days privilege leave ( he had earned this leave from 1-12-25 to 1-7-26 out of a total period of leave availed of by the official from 7-7-26 to 28-7-26, 19 days was treated as privilege leave and the rest as Leave without allowances.

Accordingly the Amildar Madhugiri Taluk has submitted an arrear bill for Rs 31/10/for sanction.

In the above circumstances, I request that the delay may kindly be condoned and the arrears of pay may be recommended to Govt for sanction

---

Copy of letter No 229/A-1 dated 20th May 1945 received from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore

---

With reference to your Memo No AI 3467/44-45 dated 30th April 45 regarding the arrears of pay and increments due to Mr G Subba Rao, Retired Clerk, in grade Rs 40-2-50, I have the honour to state that since the claims relate to periods ranging from 10 to 20 years they are not susceptible of verification in this Office The entire file of correspondence accompanies.

---

Copy of Memo No 1353/27184 dated 27-3-46 received from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore

---

The pension papers of G Subba Rao late Municipal HeadClerk, Tumkur received with his letter No A1-3467/44-45 dated 9-1-46 are herewith returned with reference to objection Nos 4,17 to 22 below An anticipatory pension of Rs 18/- has been sanctioned

The records may kindly be returned very early after doing the needful

1.

4. The nature of the vacancy in which the applicant was appointed temporary or acting from 29-1-1909 to 13-6-1910 may be intimated to decide whether such service counts of pension, under Article 233 Mysore Service Regulations (vide also item No 26 of Annexure 33, Mysore Civil Account Code vol I) How the vacancy arose and what became of the previous permanent incumbent may also be stated

*** ** **

17. A copy of the Government order ordering ~~the increment~~ retirement of the applicant may kindly be furnished

18 The date from which he was posted to work in grade 40-2-50 may kindly be recorded in the service register under proper attestation why the increments were not sanctioned as and when they became due is not stated Without the increments raising his pay from Rs 40 to 46 being sanctioned, how the increments raising his pay to Rs 48 and 50 were sanctioned may kindly be stated The authority, if any, for such sanctions may also be quoted and a copy sent for reference

19 On page 12 of service register it is recorded that half the period 1 yr 6 M 26 Days was treated as leave with allowances- If so, the nature of leave and the periods of different kinds of leave granted may be recorded in the service register.

20 The authority under which combined leave for 0-6-9 on full pay was granted from 19-12-23 to 26-6-24 may be intimated

21 There is excess grant of furlough leave allowances for more than a year The excess leave allowances are to be recovered

22 In the last pay certificate it is noted that the applicant was paid up to end of 26-8-41 and retired from service with effect from 6-12-41 How the intervening period was treated and the authority if any, therefor may be furnished

---

Copy of the letter No Sl C 1179/44-45 dated 16-8-1946 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

---

Sub - Pension papers of Mr G Subba Rao late Municipal Head Clerk, Town Municipal Office, Tumkur.

---

With reference to your memo No. Al.3467/44 dated 5th April 1946 forwarding copy of the Comptroller's letter together with the connecte records and requesting for answering objection Nos 4,17,18,19,20 21 and 22 of the Comptroller, I have the honour to state as follows

Objection No 4 - The official was first appointed as Registration Deputy Clerk of the District Registerar's office from 29-1-09 subsequently made S.P T It is seen from the Service Register that he was confirmed from 14-6-1910 The District Registrar, Shimoga has been requested to furnish the baraward extract and to intimate the nature of vacancy. A final reply will be sent on its receipt

Objection No 17 A copy of Government order retiring the official and a copy of memo of this Office is enclosed in the records vide pherist No 5 (flage A)

Objection No 18 The official was promoted to act as Head Gumasta of Tiptur taluk as per memo No.L Dis Sl 1789/28-29 dated 19-1-29 but subsequently he was posted to the District Office, Tumkur as per memo N L -Dis 2226/28 dated 14-2-29 He joined duty in the District Office and the entry has been attested in the service register The official was given the third increment in grade 40-2-50 with effect from 19-11-1933 and his subsequent increments were also sanctioned by the then Deputy Commissioner The circumstances under which the first two increments were not sanctioned cannot be ascertained at this distance of time The official claimed the difference of pay for which a detailed report has been sent with this Office No. 1127/45 dated 17-4-45 and Sl C 1179/45-46 dated 5-1-46 Final orders have not yet been passed in this case as this claim relates to the year 1933-34, the Comptroller in his letter No. 229/Al.dated 26-5-45 stated that it is not susceptible of verification

Objection No 19 - The official was given L.W A.for 1 year and 3 months by the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga form 29-8-24 and subsequently transferred to Tumkur District and he joinedduty on 24-3-26 thus absenting for 1-6-26 Half the period of his absence has been treated

as leave with allowance by the Revenue Commissioner in his No C 2230 35dated 31-1-28 As the leave allowances was directed to be disbursed in Shimoga District a reference may be kindly made to the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District to intimate the amount and the nature of the leave sanctioned to him The official was working in the District Superintendent of Police Office, Tumkur when this order was received and he has been requested to furnish theparticulars However, the entire period is shown as L.W.A in the leave statement Objection No 20 - The official was given six months combined leave in continuation of joining and preparation time from 19-12-43 as per Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's No N Dis O C.1536/23-24 dated 21-6-24 and the baravard extract of the Thirthahalli sub Division is enclosed vide Pherist No 10-

Objection No. 21 - The comptroller in his letter No 100/A1 dated 5-10-1942 has intimated the period of leave enjoyed in excess vide pherist o of the file The president, Town Municipal Council, in his letter No M C 190/42-43 dated 3-8-43 had reported that the excess leave allowances if any may be recovered out of his pension The excess leave allowances sanctioned and paid in the different periods may be kindly ordered to be recovered out of his pension

Objection No 22 - The official was relieved of his duties in the Town Municipal Office, Tumkur, on the afternoon of 26-8-41 on account of his bad work and subsequently retired from service as per G O No L 4707/9/M55-41-22 dated 6-12-41 This period from 26-8-41 to 6-12-41 was recommended to be treated as leave without allownce in this Office No S1 1179/44 dated 3-1-1946 as he has already enjoyed the leave in excess of the period admissible

The connected filed of records service register and Character book of the official accompany

---

" COPY "

Revenue Commissioner.

Copy of letter No. M2 P L.1148/45-46 dated 7th August 46 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District

—

Sub - Pension papers of Mr G Subba Rao, late Municipal Head Clerk, Town Municipal Council, Tumkur.

—

With reference to your letter No. Al.3467/44-45 dated and subsequent reminders on the subject, forwarding memo of objections raised by by the Comptroller on certain matters etc , I have the honour to reply as follows

Objection No 4

The particulars showing the nature of vacancy in which the applicant was appointed (temporary or acting) from 29-1-1909 to 31-3-1924 for the period he worked in this District have been furnished in the statement appended

Objection No 19 (ninteen)

Replies to this objection have to be furnished in Tumkur District as the official was granted leave by the Revenue Commissioner in his Memo No. O C 2230/35 dated 31-1-28 while he was working in Tumkur Taluk Office with effect from 24-3-1926

Objection No 20-

Combined leave for 0-6-9 from 19-12-23 to 26-6-24 on full pay was sanctioned to the Official as per Shimoga District Deputy Commissioner's Memo No 55 dated 11-12-23 dated N Dis O C 1536 Rev 23-24 dated 11-6-24

The entire records with Service Register and Character Books are herewith x sent.

******

" COPY "

Sd/- Illegible

For Revenue Commissioner.

To The Secretary to Govt. of Kerala
Department Bangalore

Sir

In Continuation of my represented application no 127 dated 29 1 47 praying for the Sanction of 7-1-0 annum increments

I humbly pray for Rs 35/- and beg to request you to kindly submit in what stage the present Pension Stands at present as I have no pay. Further I am unable to maintain my large family in this hard-days as such I humbly pray that orders may kindly be passed in the month April 1948 - ment - a favourably Regretted

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient servant
G. [illegible]

POST CARD
REPLY
ADDRESS ONLY

HALF ANNA
INDIA POSTAGE

G Sundara Rao
Retired Head Clerk
No 1592 Lower Camp Bangalore
Cantonment

Franked


POST CARD
ADDRESS ONLY
INDIA POSTAGE
The Secretary
Revenue Department
The Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Jhansi 6 1/47

Sir, In inviting your kind reference to my application dated the 25th May 1947 addressed to the Assistant Secretary d'S G Branch regarding to fix/work my pension Increment & Arrear Pay & Leave allowances sanctioned to us I am put to a very hard circumstances in hearing receiving the same for the last more than six years Your Honour Just Consider as to how I can manage in getting my lively-hood in these hard days on representing the same to the Assistant Secretary to the Govt of India, he was pleased to send us [illegible] and Land Lords as such

I request you to kindly fur
let me know as to when I
will be sent to the Comptroll
the details + No of Bodyguard
many kindly be entertained
I have requests to issue an Id
ment to the address noted
yours very truly
Rama Rao
18/Retired/Accountant
15921

POST CARD

REPLY

ADDRESS ONLY

INDIA

The Accountant General

5 G Branch

General and Revenue Secretariat

Public Office Buildings

Bangalore

L 1782-4 beyond ML 5-46-125

31 7 47

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Ack

R E A D :-

Government Order No L.4707-9/Ml 55-41-21 dated 6th December 1941 ordering the retirement compulsorily of Mr G Subba Rao, Head Clerk, Tumkur Town Municipality, owing to unsatisfactory work.

2 Letter No A.1 3467/44-45 dated 13th November 1946 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore. forwarding for orders the file of papers relating to the arrear claims of ~~the~~ Mr G Subba Rao

3 Unofficial Note No 174/A 1 dated 27th January 1947 of the Comptroller to Government on the above question

Date. 31 7 47
Enquiry re G R Rao

O R D E R No.L.1782-4 ML 5-46-125

BANGALORE, DATED 31 7 1947
11 8 1947

The Revenue Commissioner reports that Mr.G. Subba Rao had been granted furlough both on full and half pay for a period of three years and ten days which works out to four years and one month furlough on half-pay and that he has been irregularly granted furlough on half-pay in excess for a period of one year and one month as also furlough on full pay for twenty days in excess of that admissible under Article 209(1) - Mysore Service Regulations and that the excess allowances paid to him have to recovered from his pension Further, he has drawn privilege leave allowances for ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ the period from 24th April 1929 to 1st July 1929 and ~~that~~ the amount also has to be recovered from him

2. The Revenue Commissioner adds that, while the question of the grant of leave for the period from 25th August 1941 to ~~12th~~ 6th December 1941 was under consideration in consultation with the Comptroller to Government, Mr Subba Rao's representation for the grant of arrears of pay due to him for the period from 1930-31 to 1934-35 was also being investigated by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Three increments due to him during the above period were sanctioned to him in/lump by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, on 19th November 1935, raising his pay from Rs 40/- to Rs 46/- in the grade of Rs.40-2-50 He has drawn ~~the~~ amount But a sum of Rs 24/- towards the intervening increment for the period of the first year up to 19th November 1931 and Rs 48/- ~~for~~ the second year up to 19th November 1932 were not sanctioned.

3 It is also stated that a sum of Rs.31-10-0 by way of pay and leave allowances for July 1926 is also due to him, as the question of sanctioning his leave for the period from 7th July 1926 to 29th July 1926 had remained unsettled

4 As regards Mr Subba Rao's pension, the Revenue Commissioner states that an anticipatory pension of Rs 18/- was sanctioned and that his pension papers were returned for rectifying certain discrepancies

5 The Revenue Commissioner recommends that Mr. Subba Rao may be granted the arrears of pay and leave allowances for July 1926, the arrear increments amounting to Rs 72/- for the period ~~of~~ up to 19th November 1931 and 19th November 1932, that the irregularities pointed out by the Comptroller may be waived, that the pension

may be calculated on the basis of his available approved service, treating the period of his absence from 29th August 1924 to 24th March 1926 as leave without allowances. The Revenue Commissioner is requested to arrange for the granting of the final pension of Mr Subba Rao very early.

and that the excess amount drawn by him may be ordered to be recovered from his pension in consultation with the Comptroller. On a consideration of all the facts of the case, Govt are pleased to sanction the recommendations of the Rev Commr and direct that Mr Subba Rao be treated as having been retired from service with effect from the 25th Aug 41

6 Government are constrained to observe that the several irregularities referred to above and the confusion caused and the long correspondence which has ensued could have been avoided if the service register of the official had been properly maintained. The officers concerned should realise their responsibility in regard to the proper maintenance of service records of subordinate officials, as imperfect entries and omissions would lead to irregular payments.

for SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

To - The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, returning the Service Register and other records
" Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur
Comptroller to Government of Mysore through Financial Secretari[at]

Cpg.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read -

G.O.No.L.4707-9/Ml.55-41-21 dated 6th December 1941 ordering the retirement compulsorily of Mr.G.Subba Rao, Head Clerk, Tumkur Town Municipality, owing to unsatisfactory work.

2.Letter No.Al.3467/44-45 dated 13th November 1946 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, forwarding for orders the file of papers relating to the arrear claims of Mr.G.Subba Rao.

3 Un-official Note No.174/AI. dated 27th January 1947 of the Comptroller to Government on the above question.

---

Order No.L. 1782-4 Ml 5-46-125

Dated, Bangalore, the 31st July 1947.

The Revenue Commissioner reports that Mr.G.Subba Rao had been granted furlough both on full and half pay for a period of three years and ten days, which works out to four years and one month furlough on half-pay and that he has been irregularly granted furlough on half-pay in excess for a period of one year and one month as also furlough on full pay for twenty days in excess of that admissible under Article 209(i) - Mysore Service Regulations and that the excess allowances paid to him have to be recovered from his pension Further, he has drawn privilege leave allowance for the period from 24th April 1929 to 1st July 1929 and this amount has also to be recovered from him.

The Revenue Commissioner adds that, while the question of the grant of leave for the period from 25th August 1941 to 6th December 1941 was under consideration in consultation with the Comptroller to Government, Mr.Subba Rao's representation for the grant of arrears of pay due to him for the period from 1930-31 to 1934-35 was also being investigated by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur. Three increments due to him during the above period were sanctioned to him in a lump by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, on 19th November 1933, raising his pay from Rs.40/- to Rs.46/- in the grade of Rs.40-2-50. He has drawn the amount. But a sum of Rs.24/- towards the intervening increments for the period of the first year up to 19th November 1931 and of Rs.48/- for the second year up to 19th November 1932 were not sanctioned.

It is also stated that a sum of Rs.31-10-0 by way of pay and leave allowances for July 1926 is also due to him, as the question of sanctioning his leave for the period from 7th July 1926 to 29th July 1926 had remained unsettled.

As regards Mr.Subba Rao's pension, the Revenue Commissioner states that an anticipatory pension of Rs.18/- was sanctioned and that his pension papers were returned for rectifying certain discrepancies.

The Revenue Commissioner recommends that Mr.Subba Rao may be granted the arrears of pay and leave allowances for July 1926, the arrear increments amounting to Rs.72/- for the period up to 19th November 1931 and 19th November 1932, that the irregularities pointed out by the Comptroller may be waived, that the pension may be calculated on the basis of his available approved service, treating the period of his absence from 29th August 1924 to 24th March 1926 as leave without allowances and that the excess amount drawn by him may be ordered to be recovered from his pension in consultation with the Comptroller. The Revenue Commissioner

On a consideration of all the facts of the case, Government are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the Revenue Commissioner and direct that Mr.Subba Rao be treated as having been retired from service with effect from the 25th August 1941. The Revenue Commissioner is requested to arrange for the granting of the final pension to Mr.Subba Rao very early.

Government are constrained to obsdrve that the several irregularities referred to above and the confusion caused and the long correspondence which has ensued could have been avoided if the services register of the official had been properly maintained. The officers concerned should realise their responsibility in regard to the proper maintenance of service records of subordinate officials, as imperfect entries and omissions would lead to irregular payment.

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, returning the Service Register and other records.
The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Comptroller to Govt. through Financial Sectt.

LC/6.

L 2875/Estt/47

Endorsement granted 8/47

to Mr G. Subba Rao
Retired Municipal Head Clerk
No 1592 High school Extension
Tuticorin.

With reference to his Post Card dated 6th July 1947 praying for early orders in regard to the payment of arrears of pay and pension etc due to him Mr Subba Rao is informed that necessary orders have been issued by the Revenue Commr in this behalf and he is directed to approach this Office for further action.

The reply-Post Card received from him is herewith returned.

By order
for secy to Govt
Rev Dept